ORIGIO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **DALLAS DIVISION**

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DIST. OF TX

2019 MAR 29 AM In: 10

GRISELLE GONZALEZ

VS.

CITIBANK, N.A.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

319 - CV0779 - C

COMPLAINT

222222

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Court attains pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§1666 et seq, the Fair Credit 1. Billing Act ("FCBA") and Regulation Z issued thereunder for which jurisdiction is proper in this Court. 15 U.S.C.§1640(e) and 28 U.S.C.§§1331 and 1367 and state law claims supplemental thereto.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Griselle Gonzalezz is a natural person whose permanent address is in Dallas, 2. Texas.
- Defendant, Citibank (South Dakota) N.A., is a national banking association that does 3. not have a business office in Texas and therefore no registered agent for service in Texas. Defendant may be served by service upon its President, CEO, or other officer, at 701 East 60th Street, North, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, 57104.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff Griselle Gonzalez is a natural person who entered into an open-end credit 5. transaction, namely a VISA account, with defendant for personal, family and household purposes.
- At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Citi, in the ordinary course of business, regularly 6. extended open-end consumer credit, pursuant to a VISA, on which defendant assessed finance charges.
- On May 10, 2018, Plaintiff attended a free Internet Workshop in Dallas hosted by 7. Russell Whitney.
- At the May 10 event, Plaintiff was offered a 3-Day Training for \$497 which was scheduled to be held June 1-3, 2018 at the same hotel. Plaintiff purchased the 3-Day Training and

Case 3:19-cv-00779-C Document 2 Filed 03/29/19 Page 2 of 5 PageID 4

attended the event on those dates. Plaintiff does not dispute any charges for this event.

- 9. At the June event, Plaintiff was offered additional training where they introduced a Wealth Building Technologies package. The Wealth Builder Package included 10 eCommerce Websites, all built for you, Done-for-you Facebook ads, Done-for-you Swipe Ads, Done-for-you Follow up Emails, Access to the "Hot List" list of the hottest products to market monthly, 24 coaching sessions and WBT's Coaching Team, Lifetime Access to WBT's Online Training Course, Unlimited Email, Phone and Skype support, Attend Three Live Mastermind Summits, plus added training on-site.
- 10. Plaintiff decided to purchase the whole package described in the previous paragraph, in addition to a One on One Mentorship and Product Intelligence 2.0. The total purchase price, purchased on June 2, 2018 was \$76,294.00 (including a 3% processing fee).
 - 11. Plaintiff paid \$10,000 on June 2, 2018 on an existing credit card.
- 12. WBT offered financing for the purchase price for the program. The financing turned out to be submitting Plaintiff's application to several credit card companies and then spreading out the program fees on the several credit cards. One of the cards issued was a Citi card ending in 1049. WBT placed \$11,000 of the program charges on the Citi card.
 - 13. Plaintiff received the services specified in the 3 day Training for which she paid \$497.
- 14. Plaintiff did not receive the services from the Wealth Builder package for which she paid a total of \$76,294.00.
- 15. Plaintiff was assigned a mentor, Angel Martinez, who was to work with her in providing the services under the WBT program.
 - 16. Angel Martinez never contacted Plaintiff.
 - 17. Plaintiff made many attempts to contact Angel Martinez, to no avail.
 - 18. Out of the 24 Coaching sessions included in the WBT program, Plaintiff received three.
- 19. After the 3rd session, no further sessions were scheduled and no response was received to emails to either of the coaches nor to Angel Martinez.

Case 3:19-cv-00779-C Document 2 Filed 03/29/19 Page 3 of 5 PageID 5

- 20. Plaintiff received 1 of the 10 websites included in the WBT program.
- 21. Plaintiff was unable to access the website to receive the online training course from WBT because the website shut down.
- 22. Plaintiff continued to try to reach the coaches, Angel Martinez and any other person associated with the WBT program via email and Facebook.
- 23. Plaintiff finally heard from Angel Martinez that he no longer worked for WBT. She also heard from one of the coaches who claimed she no longer worked for WBT. Neither had any knowledge of current status of WBT.
- 24. On December 11, 2018, after exhausting all possible means to contact anyone associated with the WBT program, Plaintiff sent an email to info@wealthbuildingtechnologies.com to request a full refund. Plaintiff received a error email back stating: The email account that you tried does not exist.
- 25. Plaintiff called the phone number which was listed as their Corporate office numerous times but the phone was answered by another company who had no idea about WBT.
- 26. Plaintiff has exhausted all possibilities to resolve things with the merchant who received her funds for services not received by Plaintiff.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

- 27. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 26 above as if fully set out herein.
- 28. Defendant has violated 12 C.F.R. pt. 1026.13 (a)(3) and 15 U. S.C. §1666(b)(3) by continuing to bill Plaintiff for services not delivered.
- 29. Defendant has violated 15 U.S. C. §§1666 and 1666i and applicable rules by the practices described in this Complaint.
 - 30. The foregoing acts and omissions were undertaken on behalf of the Defendant by its

respective officers, agents, or employees acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of that relationship.

COUNT II

- Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 30 above as if fully set out 31. herein.
- Defendant's actions and inactions, as described above, constitute a violation of 12 32. C.F.R. pt. 1026.13 by requiring notice to the merchant when notice is not required..
- By reason of the allegations in this petition, per the Fair Credit Billing Act, Plaintiff is 33. entitled to recover attorney's fees in a sum that is reasonable in relation to the amount of work expended for which Plaintiff sues herein. The attorney whose name is subscribed to this pleading has been employed to assist Plaintiff in the prosecution of this action.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that this Court:

- Declare that Defendant's actions violate the FCBA. 1.
- Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for statutory damages, 2. actual damages, costs, and reasonable attorney fees as provided by 15 U.S.C. §1640(a)(2), 1666(e) and all applicable amendments.
- 3. Grant such further relief as deemed just.

TRIAL BY JURY IS DEMANDED.

Respectfully submitted,

naron K. Campbell

State Bar # 03717600

3500 Oak Lawn Ave., Suite 205

Dallas, Texas 75219

Telephone: 214/351-3260

Fax: 214/443-6055

Sharon@SharonKCampbell.com

Casi JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) - TXND (Rev. 06	e 3:19-cy-00779	CIVIL COV	ZER SHEET 19/19	Page 5 of 5 Pag	geID 7
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil doc	he information contained he	rein neither replace nor su	applement the filing and service the United States in September 19		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Griselle Gonzalez			Citibank, N.A. MAR 2 9 2019		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONE DESTRICT OF TEXAS NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Sharon K Campbell			Attorneys (If Known) 319 - CV 0779 - C		
3500 Oak Lawn Ave., Suite 205				319 - CA	0113-0
Dallas, Texas 75219; 214-3		Y CYTHAN OF DE	DINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	ent 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This State PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	nother State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Place an "X" in One Box Onl	y)			f Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY G 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	G25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 G90 Other G90 Other G90 Other G90 Other G90 Other G90 Other G90 Other Labor Standards	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
X 1 Original	convex from a distribution of Conversion of	Appellate Court satute under which you are ir Credit Billing Act	(speci) e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional si ss merchant who provided no	her District Litigation Transfer teatutes unless diversity): Discretes CHECK YES onless	n - Litigation - Direct File
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes ONO UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.					
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE 3-78-19 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD SULLA SUL					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<u> </u>	9-100	- <u> </u>		
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE					